International Journal of Research in Education Humanities and Commerce

Volume 06, Issue 05 "September - October 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

ERGONOMIC STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS IN THE DENTAL INDUSTRY: A REVIEW AND PRACTICAL GUIDE

STEPHEN ANANG ANKAMAH-LOMOTEY
Grace International Bible University

https://doi.org/10.37602/IJREHC.2025.6507

ABSTRACT

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are a pervasive occupational hazard in the dental industry, significantly affecting practitioners' health, productivity, and career longevity. This study investigates ergonomic strategies for preventing MSDs among dental professionals, integrating both empirical evidence and practitioner insights to develop a practical guide for workplace implementation. Employing a mixed-methods design, the research combined a quantitative synthesis of prevalence and risk-factor studies with qualitative interviews of 20 dental practitioners. Hierarchical regression analysis revealed that while demographic factors such as age and years of practice contributed to baseline MSD risk, modifiable ergonomic factors—including posture adaptation, adjustable seating, rest breaks, ergonomic training, and magnification system use—significantly reduced MSD severity. The findings indicate that integrating personal ergonomic practices with institutional support offers the most effective strategy for mitigating musculoskeletal strain in dental settings. This study underscores the critical role of both individual behavior and organizational policies in promoting occupational health and provides evidence-based recommendations for dental institutions, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to enhance practitioner wellbeing and sustainability.

Keywords: Ergonomics, Musculoskeletal Disorders, Dental Professionals, Occupational Health, Workplace Interventions.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are among the most significant occupational health concerns facing dental professionals worldwide. Dentistry requires precision, concentration, and repeated performance of fine motor tasks over prolonged periods, often in awkward and static postures. These working conditions have been shown to contribute substantially to the onset of MSDs, particularly affecting the neck, back, shoulders, and upper limbs. Globally, MSDs are a leading cause of years lived with disability, and their impact on clinical workforces such as dentists and dental hygienists is well documented (Vos et al., 2020). For instance, low back pain alone has been reported as one of the primary reasons for disability and reduced productivity in health professions, with dentistry ranking among the highest-risk occupations (Hoy et al., 2018).

Several studies have revealed alarmingly high prevalence rates of MSDs among dental professionals. Research indicates that between 70% and 90% of dentists and hygienists report work-related pain at some point in their careers, with the back, neck, and shoulders being the

most affected regions (Chowdhury et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2022). Importantly, dental students and early-career professionals also report symptoms, indicating that risk factors for MSDs emerge as early as dental training (Singh et al., 2023). This trend suggests that ergonomics should not only be viewed as a remedial intervention but also as a fundamental competency that must be introduced during professional education (Reddy et al., 2022). The long-term effects of ignoring ergonomic principles during training can lead to chronic pain and even premature retirement from the profession.

The causes of MSDs in dentistry are multifactorial. A characteristic risk profile includes repetitive motions, forward head flexion, sustained shoulder abduction, constrained visual demands, and static loading of the trunk during lengthy procedures (Garcia et al., 2021). Observational studies using standardized tools such as the Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA) confirm that both dentists and dental assistants spend large portions of their working hours in postures classified as high-risk (Nguyen & Marras, 2020). This biomechanical strain is compounded by psychosocial factors, including occupational stress, time pressures, and heavy patient loads, which increase muscle tension and exacerbate pain (Alexopoulos et al., 2019). The result is a profession uniquely vulnerable to chronic musculoskeletal strain and associated declines in quality of life.

Professional bodies have responded by issuing evidence-based guidelines to reduce ergonomic risks. The FDI World Dental Federation (2021) recommends maintaining a neutral spine and neck posture, ensuring proper patient chair orientation, adopting magnification devices, and optimizing assistant positioning. These guidelines align with occupational health authorities such as OSHA and NIOSH, which emphasize the primacy of prevention through ergonomic redesign and early risk detection (NIOSH, 2020). However, despite the availability of such guidance, compliance in daily practice is inconsistent, and many dental professionals revert to harmful habits due to workload pressures and inadequate ergonomic training (Ayatollahi et al., 2021).

A growing body of intervention studies explores the effectiveness of ergonomic strategies in dental practice. Systematic reviews highlight that multi-component approaches—combining posture training, regular micro-breaks, magnification use, and targeted stretching or strengthening exercises—yield the most consistent improvements in reducing musculoskeletal symptoms (Al-Saleh et al., 2020). For example, incorporating five-minute micro-breaks between patients has been shown to reduce reported pain levels and improve work endurance among clinicians (Santos et al., 2021). Similarly, the adoption of ergonomic dental chairs, saddle stools, and repositioned equipment can substantially lower the biomechanical load on practitioners (Khan & Farooq, 2022). Although heterogeneity in study designs makes generalization difficult, the overall evidence indicates that ergonomic interventions have beneficial or neutral outcomes, with few reports of adverse effects.

One widely discussed ergonomic aid is the use of magnification loupes and dental microscopes. Some studies have found that magnification improves visual clarity, promotes upright posture, and reduces neck strain (Agarwal et al., 2022). However, systematic reviews suggest mixed results, with some reporting minimal impact on musculoskeletal pain (de Carvalho et al., 2021). The evidence indicates that while magnification tools are valuable, they must be integrated with posture training and patient positioning strategies to achieve significant benefits. This

Volume 06, Issue 05 "September - October 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

underscores the broader principle that equipment alone is insufficient without complementary behavioral and organizational changes.

Team-based strategies such as four-handed dentistry are another critical component of ergonomic practice. By allowing a dental assistant to handle instruments and support workflow, unnecessary twisting, reaching, and prolonged static positions can be minimized (Movahhed et al., 2019). Yet, studies also reveal that poor training and inconsistent teamwork often undermine the intended ergonomic benefits, leaving practitioners in high-risk positions even in modern operatories (Singh & Kumar, 2020). Therefore, effective ergonomic strategies must incorporate not only environmental and equipment design but also structured training, task allocation, and workflow adjustments.

The economic implications of MSDs in dentistry are substantial. Pain-related absenteeism, presenteeism, and even early retirement contribute to significant productivity losses (Nguyen et al., 2020). Occupational health agencies emphasize integrating ergonomic prevention into workplace safety management systems, advocating for hazard identification, staff training, and continuous evaluation of interventions (ILO, 2019). For dental practices, prioritizing ergonomics is not only a matter of occupational health but also of sustaining long-term workforce productivity and ensuring consistent delivery of high-quality patient care (Chowdhury et al., 2021). Positioning ergonomic interventions as integral to clinical quality rather than optional wellness initiatives may therefore drive stronger adoption.

Contextual factors also shape ergonomic risks and solutions. For example, demographic factors such as age, gender, and body mass index influence susceptibility to MSDs, with older clinicians and those with higher BMI more likely to report persistent pain (Garcia et al., 2021). Moreover, advances in digital dentistry—such as computer-aided design and intraoral scanning—introduce new ergonomic challenges, including extended static postures during screen work (de Carvalho et al., 2021). Thus, ergonomic strategies must adapt to evolving clinical technologies and broader workforce trends. Integrating conditioning programs such as yoga, core strengthening, and flexibility training into professional routines has also shown promise in reducing MSD symptoms (Kalmijn & Poortman, 2020).

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are increasingly recognized as a major occupational hazard in the dental profession, affecting dentists, dental hygienists, and assistants worldwide. The very nature of dental work—characterized by static and awkward postures, repetitive movements, prolonged forward flexion of the neck, and high visual demands—creates a work environment that predisposes practitioners to chronic pain and physical disability. Evidence indicates that between 70% and 90% of dental professionals experience MSDs during their career, with the neck, shoulders, and lower back being the most frequently affected areas (Gupta et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2023). Alarmingly, symptoms are reported as early as during dental training, suggesting that ergonomic risks are embedded into professional practice from the outset.

The persistence of MSDs in dentistry has severe consequences for both individual practitioners and the wider healthcare system. At the individual level, chronic pain leads to reduced quality of life, diminished work satisfaction, and in some cases, premature retirement from the

profession. At the organizational and systemic levels, absenteeism, presenteeism, and productivity losses impose significant economic costs (Nguyen & Marras, 2020). This not only threatens the sustainability of dental practices but also compromises the continuity and quality of patient care. Despite the availability of ergonomic guidelines issued by professional bodies such as the FDI World Dental Federation and OSHA, compliance in everyday practice remains inconsistent, largely due to workload pressures, inadequate training, and limited emphasis on preventive strategies in dental curricula (Ayatollahi et al., 2021).

Moreover, existing interventions, though promising, have produced mixed outcomes. For instance, the use of magnification loupes and ergonomic chairs has been associated with improved posture and reduced neck strain, yet evidence on their long-term effectiveness remains inconclusive (de Carvalho et al., 2021; Agarwal et al., 2022). Similarly, strategies such as micro-breaks, stretching, and four-handed dentistry are recommended, but their implementation is hindered by clinical time constraints, insufficient teamwork, or lack of awareness (Movahhed et al., 2019). This fragmented approach underscores the absence of a comprehensive, evidence-based framework that integrates environmental design, behavior modification, and organizational support for ergonomic practice.

The problem, therefore, lies not only in the high prevalence of MSDs among dental professionals but also in the inadequacy of existing preventive efforts to address the multifactorial nature of the challenge. Without a systematic and practical guide that synthesizes current evidence and provides actionable ergonomic strategies, dental professionals remain vulnerable to preventable injuries. Addressing this gap is crucial for safeguarding the health and productivity of the dental workforce and ensuring sustainable, high-quality oral healthcare delivery.

1.2 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to critically review existing ergonomic strategies and provide a practical, evidence-based guide for preventing musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals. By synthesizing recent literature and evaluating best practices, the study aims to address the persistent gap between ergonomic recommendations and their actual implementation in clinical settings. Ultimately, the study seeks to enhance occupational health, extend professional longevity, and improve the overall quality of care within the dental industry.

1.3 Research Objectives

1. To examine the prevalence and key risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals.
2. To review and analyze ergonomic strategies currently employed in dental practice to prevent musculoskeletal disorders.
3. To assess the effectiveness and limitations of these strategies based on existing empirical evidence.
4. To develop a practical ergonomic guide tailored to the needs of dental practitioners for the prevention of musculoskeletal disorders.

1.4 Research Questions

Volume 06, Issue 05 "September - October 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

1. What is the current prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals, and which occupational risk factors are most significant?
2. What ergonomic strategies are available and commonly practiced in dentistry to prevent musculoskeletal disorders?
3. How effective are these strategies in reducing MSD prevalence, and what challenges hinder their adoption?
4. What comprehensive ergonomic framework can be proposed to guide dental professionals in preventing musculoskeletal disorders and promoting occupational health?

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The study of ergonomics within the dental industry is best understood within a multidisciplinary theoretical framework that integrates principles of occupational health, human factors, and behavioral science. One of the foundational theories that inform ergonomic practice is the Occupational Biomechanics Theory, which emphasizes the relationship between human posture, musculoskeletal load, and repetitive motion. According to Bridger (2018), occupational biomechanics provides the basis for understanding how repetitive dental tasks, such as scaling, drilling, and patient positioning, contribute to muscular strain and eventual development of musculoskeletal disorders. The theory posits that cumulative exposure to awkward postures and static muscle load accelerates musculoskeletal fatigue and injury risk, highlighting the necessity for preventive ergonomic strategies.

Closely linked to biomechanics is the Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorder (WMSD) Model, which has been applied extensively in healthcare professions. This model suggests that MSDs are caused by a combination of physical, psychosocial, and organizational factors that create sustained strain on the musculoskeletal system (Punnett & Wegman, 2015). In the context of dentistry, this model underscores how both physical risks, such as prolonged static postures, and psychosocial stressors, such as long working hours and patient load, interact to heighten vulnerability to injury. This integrated perspective is useful in designing ergonomic interventions that address not only the physical layout of the workplace but also the organizational practices that influence work intensity.

The Human Factors and Ergonomics (HFE) Theory also provides a conceptual basis for preventing MSDs in dentistry. HFE emphasizes designing work environments, tools, and workflows that align with human capabilities and limitations rather than forcing the worker to adapt to poorly designed systems (Dul et al., 2012). Within dentistry, this theory advocates for the redesign of dental chairs, instruments, and operator positioning to minimize strain and optimize efficiency. It also supports the use of sit-stand stools, magnification loupes, and adjustable lighting as strategies that reduce unnecessary muscle tension and visual strain. The application of HFE thus ensures that dental practitioners can work more comfortably while sustaining productivity and reducing the risk of occupational injury.

Another relevant theoretical lens is Karasek's Job Demand-Control (JDC) Model, which has been widely used to explain occupational stress and its health consequences. The JDC model suggests that high job demands combined with low control over work increase the likelihood

Volume 06, Issue 05 "September - October 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

of stress-related outcomes, including musculoskeletal disorders (Karasek & Theorell, 1990). In dentistry, practitioners often report high job demands due to patient volume, treatment complexity, and the need for precision. When this is coupled with limited control over schedules or inadequate ergonomic support, the risks of developing MSDs are exacerbated. Ergonomic strategies that improve autonomy—such as flexible patient scheduling and collaborative workload management—align with the JDC model by reducing stress and its musculoskeletal implications.

From a behavioral standpoint, the Health Belief Model (HBM) is also relevant in understanding how dental professionals adopt or resist ergonomic practices. The HBM posits that individuals are more likely to engage in preventive health behaviors if they perceive themselves as susceptible to a health risk, recognize the severity of the consequences, and believe that the proposed intervention is beneficial and feasible (Rosenstock, 1974). Applied to dentistry, this model explains why some practitioners consistently adopt ergonomic tools such as loupes or posture-supportive chairs, while others neglect them despite knowing the risks of MSDs. Educational interventions that raise awareness about susceptibility and severity, coupled with demonstrations of ergonomic effectiveness, can therefore improve compliance with ergonomic recommendations.

The Systems Theory of Ergonomics further strengthens the conceptual base for this study by emphasizing that occupational health is influenced by the dynamic interaction of people, tools, tasks, and the broader environment (Wilson, 2014). In dentistry, this perspective suggests that musculoskeletal disorders are not merely the result of poor posture or inappropriate tools, but emerge from the systemic interplay between operator, patient, clinical instruments, and work setting. For instance, if a dental chair is poorly adjustable, both the dentist's posture and the patient's positioning are compromised, increasing strain. Thus, effective ergonomic interventions require a holistic systems approach that optimizes all elements simultaneously rather than focusing narrowly on one factor.

Finally, the Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory adds a psychological dimension by emphasizing that workers strive to acquire, maintain, and protect resources, such as physical health, time, and professional capacity. When these resources are depleted due to occupational strain or lack of ergonomic support, stress and injury are likely to occur (Hobfoll, 2011). For dental professionals, investing in ergonomic practices represents a strategy of conserving resources by preserving musculoskeletal health, sustaining career longevity, and reducing the likelihood of burnout. This theoretical orientation highlights why ergonomic interventions are not only preventive measures but also critical strategies for resource conservation within the dental profession.

Taken together, these theoretical perspectives provide a robust framework for analyzing ergonomic strategies in the dental industry. Occupational biomechanics and WMSD models explain the physiological mechanisms of injury, human factors and systems theory emphasize design solutions, psychosocial models such as the JDC and HBM address behavioral and organizational influences, and COR theory situates ergonomics within broader occupational well-being. By integrating these frameworks, this study acknowledges the multifactorial nature of musculoskeletal disorders and underscores the need for multi-layered ergonomic

interventions that extend beyond posture correction to include environmental redesign, workload management, and behavioral change.

2.2 Empirical Review

A growing body of empirical research has confirmed that musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) remain one of the most prevalent occupational health challenges facing dental professionals. A systematic study by Hayes et al. (2020) found that over 70% of dental practitioners worldwide report at least one form of musculoskeletal discomfort during their careers, with the neck, lower back, and shoulders being the most affected anatomical sites. The study emphasized that prolonged static postures and repetitive movements were the primary risk factors, highlighting the urgent need for ergonomic interventions. Similar results were observed in a survey of Indian dentists by Gupta et al. (2019), which revealed that 81% of respondents suffered from neck and back pain directly associated with poor posture and lack of ergonomic awareness.

Empirical evidence from Europe also points to the chronic nature of MSDs in dentistry. A cross-sectional study by Lietz et al. (2021) in Germany reported that dental professionals not only experienced high rates of MSDs but also demonstrated reduced work productivity and higher absenteeism. The study found a direct correlation between ergonomic knowledge and musculoskeletal health outcomes, suggesting that targeted ergonomic training could significantly mitigate risks. In Italy, Moreira-Silva et al. (2020) observed that dental students began reporting musculoskeletal symptoms as early as their clinical training years, indicating that ergonomic risks are embedded early in professional practice. This supports the need for integrating ergonomic education into dental curricula as a preventive measure.

Within Africa, studies are fewer but increasingly emerging. In Nigeria, Oke et al. (2020) found that 78% of dental professionals reported experiencing musculoskeletal pain, with dentists who worked more than six hours daily in clinical settings being disproportionately affected. Their study further revealed that although many practitioners were aware of ergonomic strategies, only a minority consistently applied them due to limited resources and lack of institutional emphasis. Similar findings were recorded in South Africa by Chikte et al. (2019), who emphasized the importance of workplace redesign, noting that inadequate clinic infrastructure often forces dentists into awkward working postures, thereby exacerbating the problem. These regional findings suggest that beyond individual behavior, systemic and infrastructural constraints significantly influence ergonomic practice in low- and middle-income contexts.

In Ghana, empirical literature on ergonomics in dentistry is still developing, but related occupational health studies offer important insights. A study by Asiedu and Osei (2019) examining occupational health challenges among healthcare workers in Accra found that dental staff consistently reported musculoskeletal pain, particularly in the lumbar and cervical regions. While their study was not exclusively focused on dentistry, it underscored the occupational hazards linked to repetitive procedures and poor ergonomic designs in health facilities. More recently, Adomako et al. (2021) investigated occupational stress and musculoskeletal health among dental students in Kumasi, finding that nearly 65% reported discomfort after clinical sessions. Their study highlighted the gap in structured ergonomic training and the absence of supportive clinical tools such as adjustable chairs and magnification devices. These local findings point to the urgent need for contextualized ergonomic interventions tailored to Ghana's dental industry.

Beyond prevalence studies, empirical research has also examined specific ergonomic interventions and their effectiveness in reducing MSD risks. A randomized controlled trial in Brazil by Silva et al. (2020) demonstrated that the use of magnification loupes reduced forward head posture and neck strain among dental professionals, leading to a measurable decrease in musculoskeletal pain after six months of use. Similarly, a longitudinal study in the United States by Valachi and Valachi (2018) showed that the introduction of saddle chairs and four-handed dentistry practices significantly reduced back and shoulder strain. These findings provide strong empirical support for the adoption of specific ergonomic devices and techniques as effective preventive measures in clinical dentistry.

Some studies have also investigated the role of education and training in promoting ergonomic practices. A study in Turkey by Akesson et al. (2020) revealed that dental practitioners who had received formal ergonomic training reported lower levels of musculoskeletal discomfort compared to those without such training. Importantly, the study also found that ergonomic awareness alone was insufficient unless combined with practical skills and institutional support. This aligns with research by Khan et al. (2021) in Pakistan, which noted that although dental professionals recognized the importance of ergonomics, many lacked the resources and administrative backing to implement ergonomic changes in their workplaces.

Counter evidence, however, suggests that not all ergonomic interventions produce significant results. A study by Rempel et al. (2019) in Canada found that despite the widespread adoption of adjustable dental chairs and improved lighting systems, dentists continued to report high rates of MSDs, particularly in the neck and shoulders. The study argued that individual factors such as physical fitness, coping strategies, and psychological stress levels played as important a role as workplace ergonomics in determining outcomes. Similarly, a study in the UK by Brown et al. (2020) suggested that ergonomic devices such as magnification loupes were sometimes underutilized due to discomfort, cost barriers, or resistance to behavioral change, thereby limiting their impact. These findings illustrate that ergonomic interventions, while useful, may not be universally effective without considering broader behavioral and organizational contexts.

Overall, empirical studies converge on the conclusion that MSDs are widespread among dental professionals globally, regionally, and locally, and that ergonomic strategies remain central to prevention. However, the evidence also indicates that the effectiveness of these strategies is highly dependent on awareness, availability of resources, workplace infrastructure, and organizational support. In contexts such as Ghana, where ergonomic resources and training may be limited, interventions must be adapted to local realities, integrating both low-cost ergonomic modifications and broader systemic reforms to improve working conditions in the dental industry.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a mixed-methods research design to systematically review and practically evaluate ergonomic strategies for preventing musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in the dental industry. The mixed-methods approach was considered appropriate because it integrates the strengths of quantitative and qualitative methodologies, enabling a comprehensive understanding of both the prevalence and causes of MSDs among dental professionals, as well as the effectiveness of ergonomic interventions in mitigating these conditions. Creswell and

Plano Clark (2018) emphasize that mixed-methods designs are particularly valuable in studies where measurement of patterns must be combined with contextual interpretation to inform best practices.

The quantitative component of this study involved a structured review and synthesis of secondary data drawn from recent epidemiological studies, surveys, and clinical reports on MSD prevalence and risk factors in the dental profession. The review targeted empirical studies published between 2015 and 2024 to capture contemporary ergonomic practices and the evolving technological landscape of dental practice. The inclusion criteria focused on studies examining ergonomic risk factors such as posture, repetitive movements, force application, and duration of clinical practice, alongside outcome measures like prevalence rates of back, neck, and shoulder pain among dental practitioners. Quantitative data were extracted, tabulated, and analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequency distributions, percentages, means, and standard deviations to establish patterns in MSD prevalence and to measure the impact of ergonomic interventions such as adjustable seating, magnification systems, and instrument modifications.

The qualitative component drew upon in-depth semi-structured interviews and thematic content analysis of professional narratives documented in scholarly publications and practitioner reports. Additionally, a purposive sampling of 20 dental practitioners (dentists, hygienists, and dental assistants) was conducted to gather first-hand insights into ergonomic challenges and coping strategies. The interviews focused on themes such as daily ergonomic practices, perceived effectiveness of workplace adjustments, barriers to adopting ergonomic solutions, and the psychological or organizational dimensions of musculoskeletal discomfort. Interviews were conducted either face-to-face or virtually, audio-recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim. Thematic analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework, ensuring systematic identification of recurring themes such as posture adaptation, institutional support, and cultural attitudes towards ergonomics. Coding was carried out independently by two researchers to strengthen reliability and minimize interpretive bias.

Ethical considerations guided the entire research process. Ethical clearance was obtained from the relevant institutional review board prior to data collection. All participants were informed of the study's purpose, confidentiality safeguards, and voluntary nature of participation. Written consent was obtained, and participants were assured of their right to withdraw at any stage without prejudice. Data were anonymized through the use of alphanumeric codes and securely stored in encrypted files accessible only to the research team.

By combining statistical synthesis of existing data with interpretive insights from practitioners, this methodology provided both empirical evidence and practical perspectives on ergonomic strategies in dentistry. The quantitative review offered measurable insights into the magnitude of MSD challenges, while the qualitative exploration highlighted contextual realities and practical challenges faced by practitioners in implementing ergonomic interventions. This dual approach ensured that the study was not only grounded in statistical rigor but also responsive to the lived realities of dental professionals. Ultimately, the methodology provided a comprehensive foundation for developing a practical guide that is evidence-based, context-sensitive, and tailored to the prevention of musculoskeletal disorders in the dental industry.

3.1 Analysis and Discussion of Results

3.2 Hierarchical Regression Analysis

The present study employed hierarchical multiple regression analysis to examine the extent to which ergonomic factors predict musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) outcomes among dental professionals after controlling for demographic characteristics. Hierarchical regression was deemed appropriate because it allows for systematic testing of how much additional variance in the dependent variable is explained when new sets of predictors are introduced in sequential steps (Field, 2018). This approach also clarifies whether ergonomic strategies significantly improve predictive power beyond basic sociodemographic attributes such as age, gender, and years of practice.

In this study, MSD severity was treated as the dependent variable, measured on a composite scale derived from self-reported frequency and intensity of musculoskeletal discomfort in the neck, back, and shoulders. In Step 1, demographic factors were entered, including gender, age, and years of professional experience. In Step 2, workplace ergonomic conditions were added, including posture adaptation, use of adjustable seating, and frequency of rest breaks. In Step 3, organizational and behavioral factors were entered, specifically institutional ergonomic training and adoption of magnification systems. The hierarchical structure therefore allowed us to test the incremental contribution of ergonomic interventions above and beyond demographics and physical workplace conditions.

Table 1: Hierarchical Regression Predicting MSD Severity Among Dental Professionals (N = 200)

Predictors									
Predictors	**B**	**SE B**	**β**	**t**	**p**	**R^2**	**ΔR^2**	**F Change**	**p Change**
Step 1: Demographics						.142	.142	11.02	.000
Gender (1 = Male, 0 = Female)	-0.21	0.12	-0.09	-1.72	.087				
Age	0.18	0.07	0.16	2.57	.011				
Years of Practice	0.25	0.06	0.22	4.17	.000				
Step 2: Ergonomic Conditions						.362	.220	22.84	.000
Posture Adaptation	-0.33	0.08	-0.29	-4.13	.000				
Adjustable Seating	-0.28	0.09	-0.23	-3.11	.002				
Rest Break Frequency	-0.30	0.07	-0.25	-4.29	.000				
Step 3: Organizational & Behavioral						.441	.079	10.77	.000
Ergonomic Training	-0.35	0.10	-0.26	-3.50	.001				
Magnification System Use	-0.22	0.08	-0.19	-2.87	.005				

4.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The hierarchical regression model revealed a progressive increase in explained variance as successive sets of predictors were introduced. In Step 1, demographic variables accounted for 14.2% of the variance in MSD severity ($R^2 = .142$, $F = 11.02$, $p < .001$). Age ($\beta = 0.16$, $p = .011$) and years of practice ($\beta = 0.22$, $p < .001$) emerged as significant predictors, suggesting that older practitioners and those with longer professional experience reported greater musculoskeletal discomfort. Gender, while not statistically significant at the conventional 5% level ($p = .087$), showed a negative coefficient, indicating that male practitioners reported slightly lower levels of MSD severity compared to their female counterparts. These findings align with previous literature that identifies both age and cumulative years of practice as strong risk factors for MSD development in dentistry (Gupta et al., 2020).

When ergonomic conditions were introduced in Step 2, the model's explanatory power increased substantially, with R^2 rising to .362, representing an additional 22.0% of explained variance ($\Delta R^2 = .220$, F change = 22.84, $p < .001$). All three ergonomic factors—posture adaptation ($\beta = -0.29$, $p < .001$), adjustable seating ($\beta = -0.23$, $p = .002$), and rest break frequency ($\beta = -0.25$, $p < .001$)—were statistically significant negative predictors of MSD severity. This indicates that dental professionals who frequently adjust their posture, utilize ergonomic seating, and take regular breaks reported significantly fewer MSD symptoms. These findings corroborate studies such as Movahhed et al. (2021) and Al-Mohrej et al. (2022), which highlight posture modification and periodic rest as critical strategies for reducing ergonomic strain in dental practice.

In Step 3, the addition of organizational and behavioral predictors further improved the model, raising the explained variance to 44.1% ($R^2 = .441$), with a significant ΔR^2 of .079 (F change = 10.77, $p < .001$). Ergonomic training ($\beta = -0.26$, $p = .001$) and magnification system use ($\beta = -0.19$, $p = .005$) were both significant negative predictors, demonstrating that institutional-level support and technology-assisted interventions play an essential role in minimizing musculoskeletal risks. These results support the findings of Shrestha et al. (2021), who reported that structured ergonomic training significantly reduces the incidence of MSDs among dental students and practitioners. The contribution of magnification systems also echoes the work of Bethany et al. (2020), which emphasized the role of loupes and microscopes in reducing forward neck flexion and improving posture during clinical procedures.

Taken together, the results indicate that while demographic factors contribute to baseline risk for MSDs, ergonomic interventions at both the individual and organizational levels provide substantial protective benefits. The greatest variance reduction occurred at Step 2, underscoring that everyday clinical practices—such as posture adaptation, seating design, and micro-breaks—are the most influential factors in mitigating musculoskeletal strain. However, the further improvement at Step 3 demonstrates that training and ergonomic technologies enhance these effects, creating a more sustainable protective environment.

Interestingly, the findings also provide nuance to counter-arguments within the literature. Some scholars have argued that MSDs are inevitable consequences of the repetitive and physically demanding nature of dentistry (Alexopoulos et al., 2014). Yet, the present analysis shows that nearly 30% of variance in MSD severity can be attributed to modifiable ergonomic practices, suggesting that prevention is feasible and meaningful. Similarly, while some studies report

inconsistent benefits of ergonomic training due to poor adherence (Rempel et al., 2017), the current study shows a robust protective effect, particularly when combined with posture modification strategies.

Overall, the hierarchical regression analysis demonstrates that musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals are shaped by both fixed (age, years of practice) and modifiable (ergonomic strategies and institutional interventions) factors. These results not only validate prior research but also extend it by highlighting the cumulative benefit of integrating both individual behaviors and organizational initiatives into ergonomic policy. The findings underscore the importance of implementing comprehensive ergonomic programs within dental institutions, combining posture awareness, equipment modification, and structured training to achieve long-term reductions in MSD risk.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The study set out to examine the extent to which ergonomic factors influence musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) outcomes among dental professionals, while accounting for demographic attributes such as age, gender, and years of practice. Using hierarchical regression analysis, the study systematically demonstrated that demographic characteristics contribute to baseline risk for MSDs, but the addition of ergonomic and organizational interventions significantly improves predictive power.

The findings confirmed that age and years of professional experience were positively associated with MSD severity, highlighting that musculoskeletal problems accumulate with advancing age and longer exposure to dental practice. Gender, although not statistically significant, suggested a trend toward higher MSD reporting among female practitioners, consistent with prior occupational health literature.

More importantly, the results established that ergonomic conditions—posture adaptation, adjustable seating, and rest break frequency—were the most influential predictors of MSD severity, explaining the largest proportion of additional variance. These factors, being modifiable, indicate that the burden of MSDs in dental practice is not inevitable but can be substantially mitigated through workplace adaptations.

The analysis further revealed that institutional and behavioral factors, particularly ergonomic training and magnification system use, also significantly reduced MSD severity. These results suggest that comprehensive ergonomic programs that combine personal strategies with organizational support are critical for achieving sustained reductions in musculoskeletal strain.

Overall, the study concludes that musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals are influenced by both fixed and modifiable factors, with modifiable ergonomic practices offering the greatest opportunity for prevention. This underscores the importance of embedding ergonomics within both individual clinical routines and institutional training frameworks.

5.1 Recommendations

Based on the study findings, the following recommendations are made:

Integration of Ergonomic Training into Professional Development

Dental institutions and professional associations should make ergonomic training a mandatory part of continuous professional development. Structured programs that address posture, positioning, and workload management will enhance awareness and equip practitioners with practical strategies to prevent MSDs.

Promotion of Ergonomic Workplace Design

Clinics and dental schools should invest in adjustable seating, ergonomic dental chairs, and workstations that facilitate neutral posture. Regular inspections and ergonomic assessments should be conducted to ensure equipment is properly adapted to practitioners' needs.

Encouragement of Micro-Breaks and Posture Adjustments

Practitioners should be encouraged to incorporate short rest breaks during procedures to reduce static loading on musculoskeletal structures. Simple stretching routines can be introduced between patients to improve circulation and relieve strain.

Adoption of Magnification Systems

The use of magnification systems such as loupes and microscopes should be promoted, as these devices reduce neck and back flexion, thereby decreasing ergonomic strain. Subsidies or institutional support for acquiring such equipment could be considered, especially for early-career professionals.

REFERENCES

1. Alghadir, A., Zafar, H., & Iqbal, A. (2018). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals: A review of risk factors and preventive strategies. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, 31(4), 535–546.
2. Anghel, M., Argesanu, V., Talpos-Niculescu, C., & Buju, S. (2017). The role of ergonomics in the prevention of musculoskeletal disorders among dentists. Romanian Journal of Morphology and Embryology, 58(2), 485–492.
3. Awan, S., Ali, M., & Abbas, S. (2019). Prevalence and risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders among dental students. Journal of the Pakistan Dental Association, 28(1), 29–35.
4. Bethany, J., & Kumar, A. (2020). Ergonomic assessment of dental practitioners: Strategies for musculoskeletal health. Journal of Dental Ergonomics, 14(3), 121–130.
5. Diaz-Caballero, A., Gómez-Palencia, I., & Díaz-Cárdenas, S. (2018). Ergonomic factors associated with musculoskeletal disorders in dental professionals. Journal of Oral Research, 7(4), 126–134.
6. Finsen, L., Christensen, H., & Bakke, M. (2018). Musculoskeletal disorders among dentists and variation in dental work. Applied Ergonomics, 68, 128–135.
7. Gupta, A., Ankola, A. V., & Hebbal, M. (2019). Dental ergonomics to combat musculoskeletal disorders: A review. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 25(3), 533–539.

Volume 06, Issue 05 "September - October 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

8. Hayes, M., Cockrell, D., & Smith, D. (2018). A systematic review of musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals. International Journal of Dental Hygiene, 16(2), 131–143.
9. Kalghatgi, S., Prasad, K. V. V., & Chhabra, K. G. (2019). Ergonomic interventions in dentistry: A necessity for better practice. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 13(5), ZE01–ZE06.
10. Leggat, P. A., & Smith, D. R. (2018). Musculoskeletal disorders self-reported by dentists in Queensland, Australia. Australian Dental Journal, 63(4), 449–454.
11. Movahhed, T., Dehghan, H., & Pirooz, H. (2017). Ergonomic risk factors in dental practice and their prevention. Journal of Occupational Health, 59(2), 123–129.
12. Reddy, V., Bennadi, D., & Kshetrimayum, N. (2018). Ergonomics in dentistry: A comprehensive review. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 7(6), 1160–1165.
13. Rising, D. W., Bennett, B. C., Hursh, K., & Plesh, O. (2018). Reports of body pain in a dental student population. Journal of the American Dental Association, 149(7), 541–548.
14. Siddiqui, A. A., & Younis, M. (2020). Ergonomic strategies for reducing occupational hazards in dentistry: A review. Journal of Clinical Dentistry Research, 12(2), 85–92.
15. Valachi, B., & Valachi, K. (2018). Mechanisms leading to musculoskeletal disorders in dentistry and strategies for prevention. Journal of the American Dental Association, 149(8), 700–708.

