International Journal of Research in Education Humanities and Commerce

Volume 06, Issue 02 "March - April 2025"

ISSN 2583-0333

# THE TRANSFORMATION OF SCHOOL SPACE IN EUROPE AFTER WORLD WAR II

**APOSTOLOS KARAOULAS**
Laboratory Teaching Staff, University of Ioannina, School of Education Sciences,
Department of Early Childhood Education

https://doi.org/10.37602/IJREHC.2025.6233

## ABSTRACT

The study of the role of school infrastructure in the educational process constitutes a critical field for understanding transformations in both education and European society. Beyond their physical nature, school facilities play a significant role in shaping the learning environment and the educational process itself. Following World War II, Europe faced the urgent need to restructure its educational systems, with school architecture emerging as a crucial factor in this endeavor. The architectural and technological innovations integrated into school buildings reflected the pedagogical theories of the time, which emphasized creativity, collaboration, and the development of critical thinking. The modern school space, through the combination of sustainability and innovative pedagogical practices, mirrors social progress and the values of the era, preparing students for the complex challenges of the modern world. The interaction between school space and the learning process demonstrates that education is not confined to the transmission of knowledge but is primarily an act of building values, fostering cooperation, and enabling social interaction. By examining the evolution of school infrastructure and its connection to educational policies, the article highlights the importance of school space design as a determining factor in enhancing learning, sociability, and students' personal development.

**Keywords:** School innovation, school architecture, pedagogical theories, educational life, European education

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The configuration of school space is not merely the outcome of architectural decisions, but also a reflection of the pedagogical, social, and political developments that shape education at a given historical moment. Particularly in Europe, after World War II, education emerged as a central component of public policy, aiming at the reconstruction and modernization of war-torn societies. At the heart of this reform was the need to redesign school environments that would support new pedagogical approaches and provide a distinctive space for the development of younger generations. School infrastructure served as the primary tool through which educational policy was implemented and social change in Europe was advanced, playing a decisive role in shaping new social and educational structures (Burke & Whyte, 2021).

The pedagogical theories of the 20th century, heavily influenced by progressive education and thinkers such as John Dewey, emphasized the importance of student-teacher interaction and the active participation of students in the learning process. According to this pedagogical perspective, classrooms should not be limited to the transmission of knowledge but should instead foster student engagement and promote inquiry and critical thinking, thereby

  

contributing to active learning. The school buildings constructed based on this philosophy defined school space as a flexible and functional environment where students could develop their skills in an open and welcoming setting. Thus, the design of school facilities followed new pedagogical directions, with particular emphasis on natural lighting, spaciousness, and the development of specialized areas for specific activities (e.g., music, arts, physical education) (Dewey, 1938; Woolner et al., 2012).

The geographic dimension of school space design in postwar Europe presents a point of interest, as it was influenced by the diverse sociopolitical and economic conditions in each region. In Northern Europe, for instance, school buildings were designed with a focus on functionality and long-term sustainability, seeking to improve learning conditions despite economic constraints. In Central Europe, by contrast, greater importance was placed on aesthetics and the integration of the school into public space, with buildings often incorporating the historical and cultural heritage of the area. In Southern Europe, school architecture was more influenced by traditional forms and tended to be less modernizing in character. At the same time, the design of school buildings gave special attention to the development of common areas and courtyards, offering a favorable and welcoming environment for social interaction (Tse et al., 2018).

Beyond the fundamental needs of education, school infrastructure also played an important social role. Throughout the 20th century, a trend emerged toward the diversification of school spaces, with the introduction of specialized areas for various activities. The schoolyard, for example, became recognized as essential for students' physical development and recreation, while music and sports rooms provided opportunities for creative expression and physical development. In several regions, rest areas and dining facilities were also introduced, reflecting an acknowledgment of the need to support students' overall well-being. New school facilities were thus designed to reflect the integration of contemporary educational values, aiming to create equitable and healthy learning conditions that address the developmental and welfare needs of all students (Tse et al., 2018; Woolner et al., 2012).

Over time, the shaping of school spaces in postwar Europe has not only been a matter of architectural or technical development but also one of social strategies and pedagogical orientation. Educational and social aspirations influenced the formation of schools' physical environments, enhancing their functionality and contributing to the fulfillment of the educational objectives of the time (Burke & Whyte, 2021; Dewey, 1938).

## 2.0 SCHOOL SPACES IN EUROPE BEFORE AND AFTER WORLD WAR II

The architecture of school spaces prior to World War II reflected the relationship between education, society, and political authority. During the Industrial Age, educational processes and teaching environments were adapted to the needs of a society founded on discipline and the categorization of individuals into distinct social classes. School buildings, often designed to reinforce social order and control, had rigid layouts, with rows of desks arranged to facilitate strict discipline and student supervision. As Foucault (1995) argued, classroom discipline was associated with the exercise of power and the organization of knowledge into structured, hierarchical systems, while students, confined within strict frameworks, became objects of control. The classroom was strictly structured around the necessity of managing large groups

  

of students efficiently, offering little to no room for interaction or critical thinking on the part of the pupils (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990).

School architecture was not only a mirror of social reality but also a tool for supporting the capitalist mode of production, in which efficiency and organization were paramount. School buildings typically followed geometric forms, such as rectangular or square layouts, intended to maximize spatial functionality and simplify the management of large student populations. Classrooms were often dark, with minimal natural lighting and poor ventilation, as educational practices of the time promoted the belief that restricted environments enhanced concentration. The physical layout of schools, with clearly defined boundaries and control of movement, embodied the disciplinary approach that characterized the learning process (Foucault, 1995).

During the era of industrial economic development, school architecture was also closely tied to the school's role as a mechanism for social integration and the reproduction of existing power structures. According to Bourdieu and Passeron (1990), schools were not merely educational institutions, but spaces for reproducing social and political structures. Discipline and control, implemented through both architectural design and pedagogical practice, served the purpose of maintaining social order and producing knowledge that aligned with the economic needs of the era. Classrooms were based on strict hierarchical structures, where the teacher held a central authoritative role, and students functioned as passive recipients (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990).

The prewar period was marked by a close relationship between school spaces and social discipline. School architecture, with its rigid organization, was aligned with prevailing notions of obedience and standardized learning. This rigid educational reality began to change after the war, as shifts in the social and political landscape, combined with the ideas of the educational reform movement, led to a reconsideration of the nature of school environments and their relationship to the learning process (Foucault, 1995; Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990).

The devastation caused by World War II in Europe had profound consequences across many sectors of society, with education being one of the most significantly affected. School buildings, often targeted in bombings, suffered extensive damage or were destroyed, resulting in severe deficiencies in educational infrastructure. The need for large-scale reconstruction of school facilities was immediate and urgent, as the reopening of schools was a vital issue for both the rebuilding of society and the revival of the economy (Altbach, 1998).

The restoration of education extended beyond the reconstruction of physical infrastructure to include a complete reorganization of the educational system, which had been deeply disrupted during the war. The need to upgrade and modernize schools became a decisive priority, as education was recognized as a central pillar of Europe’s reconstruction. Governments, influenced by ambitious postwar policies and the necessity of educating the younger generation, launched a series of educational reforms aimed at enhancing social integration and preparing students for participation in a new, postwar society (Spring, 1998).

Education was thus recognized as a critical instrument for rebuilding democracy and restoring social cohesion across Europe. Educational policies were oriented toward eliminating the political and social inequalities exacerbated by the war. These reforms included the establishment of more equitable and accessible education for all social classes, the strengthening of instruction in science and technology, and the promotion of international

  

cooperation and intercultural relations. The European Community, through the European Economic Community and other institutions, promoted the ideal of education as a means of reconstruction and social reunification (St. John & Murphy, 2019).

However, the reconstruction of education in Europe was not without its challenges. In the early postwar years, countries faced significant economic hardship and resource shortages, which delayed the rebuilding of school infrastructure and the implementation of new educational policies. Despite these difficulties, the changes brought about in the field of education were fundamental to the social and political reformation of European states. The subsequent reforms highlighted the crucial role of education not only as a foundation for individual knowledge and development but also as an essential tool for social cohesion and political stability (Rist, 2014).

The view of education as a tool for social reconstruction was also articulated in the work of Frantz Fanon (1963), who emphasized the significance of education as a means of empowerment and integration in societies seeking justice and equality. Beyond rebuilding the economy, the educational process became a decisive factor in the development of new values that promoted democracy, freedom, and solidarity, values essential for the revival of societies shattered by war.

## 3.0 PEDAGOGICAL THEORIES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE

The impact of pedagogical theories on school architecture has been decisive in shaping educational spaces, as the need for environments that reflect developments in learning and education has been closely linked to structural changes in educational policy and society at large (Alexander, 2001). Throughout the 20th century, influential educators such as John Dewey, Maria Montessori, and Célestin Freinet significantly shaped educational thought, laying the groundwork for the modernization of school architecture (Dewey, 1938; Montessori, 2004; Acker, 2000).

John Dewey's educational philosophy, for instance, emphasized participatory learning and collaboration in the classroom. The need for flexible and dynamic spaces that allowed for interaction between students and teachers, free from the constraints of traditional, rigidly aligned desks, led to revolutionary changes in classroom design. Nineteenth-century classrooms, with their frontal instruction and strict spatial segmentation, became subject to critique by the new educational thinking, which promoted circular or even open layouts (Griffin, 2021). The classroom was no longer merely a site for the transmission of knowledge; it evolved into a space for co-creating learning, recognizing the active role of the student (Alexander, 2001).

Maria Montessori contributed her own distinctive vision by designing schools that promoted student independence and autonomy through purposefully arranged learning environments. Her philosophy recognizes that children learn best when they are provided with a space that encourages exploration and self-directed activity (Montessori, 2004). Montessori-inspired classrooms are meticulously designed to enhance the learning process without the rigidity of traditional setups. Materials used in the learning process are accessible and tailored to the needs of the students, while the spatial configuration encourages collaboration and social interaction (Dewey, 1938).

  

Célestin Freinet, on the other hand, focused on the importance of cooperation between students and teachers and the need for an open, democratic learning environment. The school architecture inspired by Freinet's thought suggests incorporating specialized spaces that support participation and active learning (Acker, 2000). Schools influenced by his ideas often include laboratories and collaborative activity spaces, while classroom layouts encourage creativity and knowledge-building through hands-on experience (Griffin, 2021).

These pedagogical differences led to distinct architectural solutions in school design. In traditional 19th-century schools, classrooms were narrow, with linear desk arrangements and strict separation of spaces. Such configurations did not support student engagement, relying instead on rigid hierarchies and teacher-centered instruction (Alexander, 2001). In contrast, experimental and progressive schools inspired by Dewey, Montessori, and Freinet introduced new conceptualizations of learning spaces. Classrooms were arranged in circular or open configurations to promote flexibility and interaction. Natural lighting and the creation of pleasant, spacious environments became defining features of modern school architecture (Griffin, 2021).

Contemporary school architecture continues to evolve with the principles of sustainability and social cohesion, offering schools that function as learning communities. The use of natural materials and the integration of school buildings into their broader environment reflect the view that school space is a living system actively contributing to the learning process (Alexander, 2001). Dedicated areas for collaboration, sports, and the arts, as well as the inclusion of outdoor classrooms, are now embedded within modern school design (Montessori, 2004).

The open classroom concept and diversification in school architecture demonstrate the transition from the traditional school setting to a learning environment that fosters participation, freedom, and creativity. School space, both as a building and as a site of learning, has become a living organism that supports contemporary pedagogical theories and promotes values of engagement and social interaction (Acker, 2000).

The design of school space as a physical learning environment has emerged as a critical factor in the effectiveness of the educational system, as a well-organized space enhances student engagement and promotes active learning. The idea of the “flexible” space, combining natural lighting with the integration of nature into the school environment, is closely linked to modern pedagogical theories that emphasize interaction and adaptability. These theories stress that the physical and organized layout of the learning environment plays a crucial role in facilitating learning and active student participation (Fleming, 1997). The connection between the natural environment and the learning process has been acknowledged as essential for developing students’ critical thinking, creativity, and socialization.

Among the most important features of modern school architecture is the use of natural light. Natural lighting has a positive impact on students’ mood and productivity, as it fosters a connection with the outdoors and creates a more comfortable and healthy learning atmosphere (Alexander, 2001). Modern school spaces are designed to maximize natural light and reduce reliance on artificial lighting, offering students a natural and enjoyable learning environment. Schools with large windows and wall openings allow natural light to flood in, positively influencing spatial perception and improving students’ concentration (Fleming, 1997). The

  

design philosophy emphasizes that light is not merely an aesthetic element but a key factor shaping the learning atmosphere.

Another important dimension of contemporary school architecture is the development of flexible spaces. Circular classroom layouts and adaptable areas that can be rearranged according to teaching needs contribute to a more enriching learning experience. Students are no longer passive observers but are encouraged to actively participate in the educational process, collaborate with peers, and express their creativity freely (Dewey, 1938). Flexible layouts facilitate group work and the use of diverse tools for interactive learning activities. Additionally, movable furniture and the ability to reconfigure space depending on the activity enhance the dynamism of the learning process.

Beyond classrooms, the integration of specialized areas such as laboratories, music rooms, and sports facilities, creates a holistic educational environment. Science labs and art spaces allow students to develop practical skills and bridge the gap between theory and practice. Music and art, as creative pursuits, are given dedicated space in schools that value the multidimensional development of the child (Acker, 2000). At the same time, sports areas are integrated into educational planning, providing opportunities for physical activity and fostering collaboration. Green courtyards and outdoor teaching spaces, increasingly common in modern schools, deepen students' connection with nature, offering a healthy and enriched learning setting (Fleming, 1997).

The incorporation of outdoor spaces into teaching, the concept of the "outdoor classroom", goes beyond occasional outdoor activity, functioning instead as an integral part of the educational process. Schools with green courtyards or organized gardens incorporate nature into daily instruction while promoting sustainability and environmental awareness among students (Alexander, 2001). The learning process expands beyond the square footage of the classroom, integrating outdoor spaces and offering opportunities for inquiry, observation, and hands-on application of knowledge in the natural world. The schoolyard, as a space for recreation and free activity, supports students' physical and mental well-being and enhances their socialization (Hofverberg, 2025).

Modern school architecture is no longer limited to a simple building with classrooms but aims to create a comprehensive learning ecosystem. The interplay of natural light, flexible spaces, green courtyards, and dedicated areas for music, sports, and the arts enhances the learning process, creating an environment that supports the child's development across all domains: cognitive, emotional, and social (Acker, 2000).

## 4.0 GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENCES IN THE DESIGN OF SCHOOL SPACES IN EUROPE

The configuration of school spaces in Europe is not only an architectural challenge but also a mirror of European pedagogical culture, social organization, and the cultural differences that characterize each geographical region. Despite the shared educational values and the overarching continental framework that connects European countries, the implementation and integration of these values into school environments vary according to the social, political, and historical contexts prevailing in each area. Geographic location, together with a nation's

  

historical and cultural heritage, shapes the function of school spaces and determines the dominant educational approaches in each locale.

In Northern Europe, countries such as Sweden, Denmark, and Finland follow educational systems that promote learning through interaction with the environment and nature. Their pedagogical approaches encourage free exploration and the development of student skills through open and flexible learning spaces, which are closely linked with outdoor activities and contact with the natural surroundings. School spaces in these countries are characterized by open-plan classrooms that foster collaboration and autonomous learning. The integration of the natural environment as part of the educational process is a fundamental element of school architecture in this region. In Finland especially, the concept of the "forest school" and outdoor educational activities play a central role in connecting learning with nature. These programs aim to enhance students' personal development and cultivate social consciousness through experiential learning and direct engagement with the environment (Jeronen, 2012). The integration of nature and outdoor areas into everyday school life is recognized as a strategy to strengthen critical thinking and creativity, while the open layout of classrooms facilitates group work and the development of personalized learning pathways (Larsen, Schulte, & Thue, 2022).

In Central Europe, countries such as Germany and the Netherlands emphasize more specialized school spaces, with greater use of technology and purpose-built laboratories for the teaching of science and the arts. School buildings in these countries promote the idea of personalized learning through the creation of dedicated areas for scientific research, music, art, and physical education. In Germany, school facilities are often equipped with state-of-the-art labs and classrooms that incorporate digital technologies, offering students opportunities to apply theoretical knowledge in practical ways (Trumpa et al., 2020). In the Netherlands, the use of technology and interactive tools in education is at an advanced level, and school spaces are designed to promote collaboration and interaction between students and teachers. The constant integration of evolving technologies is not limited to laboratories; it is embedded in every classroom, supporting interdisciplinary learning and the customization of educational experiences (Kerssens & Dijck, 2021).

Conversely, in Southern Europe, countries like Italy and Spain maintain more traditional school structures, though they are increasingly incorporating contemporary pedagogical trends to enhance the educational experience. In Italy, for example, classrooms often follow the classical arrangement with rows of desks; however, recent developments in pedagogy encourage more flexible layouts and the utilization of outdoor spaces to foster student activity and creativity (Mayo, Brown, & Briguglio, 2022). The integration of outdoor classrooms and the use of natural light strengthen students' connection to nature, an especially important feature in countries with abundant sunlight, such as Spain and Italy. Despite the traditional architecture of school buildings, educational spaces in Italy are continually evolving, with new strategies aimed at incorporating nature and enhancing pedagogical methods (Becker et al., 2018).

The comparison of geographical differences across Europe highlights the diversity of approaches shaped by cultural traditions, historical contexts, and contemporary needs specific to each region. The integration of the natural environment, flexibility in learning spaces, and the use of technology are shared elements across European educational systems, with each region adapting these strategies to fit its local pedagogical and social frameworks.

  

Developments in school architecture across Europe, from Scandinavia to the Mediterranean, reflect the continuously evolving demand for learning environments that incorporate both the natural and social conditions of their respective settings.

## 5.0 SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS

School infrastructure plays a decisive role in shaping the educational process. The way educational spaces are designed affects not only the quality of learning but also the overall psychological well-being of students. Ergonomics, lighting, ventilation, and the general psychological impact of the environment are among the key factors that determine students' performance. The creation of spaces that respond to students' needs can enhance their ability to concentrate and assimilate new knowledge, positively influencing reading comprehension, as well as written and physical development (Tse et al., 2018).

One of the most critical factors is lighting. Natural lighting, for instance, is essential for maintaining student alertness and mood. A lack of natural light or the use of inappropriate artificial lighting systems can lead to fatigue and reduced performance. In contrast, classrooms that ensure a steady flow of natural light improve students' mood and contribute to their development. Proper lighting is directly linked to students' psychological well-being, supporting concentration and smooth integration into the educational process. Similarly, adequate ventilation helps maintain a healthy and pleasant learning environment, preventing drowsiness and improving focus (Costa & Cooper, 2024).

Ergonomics in school spaces refers to the adaptation of furniture and equipment to the physical needs of students. When students use desks or chairs that are not suited to their body dimensions, comfort is compromised, which may cause physical fatigue and distract them from learning. Proper ergonomics contributes to improved posture and physical well-being, enabling students to engage more actively in the educational process (Tse et al., 2018). Effective ergonomic design of school spaces facilitates learning by promoting comfort and sustained engagement.

The integration of specialized areas, such as music rooms, athletic facilities, and spaces for artistic expression, is also vital to student development. Music and the arts are important avenues for the development of emotional and intellectual skills. The presence of dedicated spaces for music and art enables students to express themselves creatively and to cultivate abilities that may not align with conventional modes of learning (Kiehn, 2003). Similarly, athletic spaces support physical development, promote physical education and healthy living, and reinforce cooperation and team spirit.

The role of outdoor spaces should not be underestimated either. Green courtyards, outdoor classrooms, and schoolyards that incorporate the natural environment enhance students' emotional sensitivity and promote a sense of connection with nature and society. Outdoor areas provide a distinct learning experience that fosters collaboration and exploration. Integrating outdoor spaces into the daily educational program has been shown to support students' social and emotional development, while also promoting personal well-being (Kellert, 2005).

The holistic design of school space—incorporating elements such as lighting, ergonomics, ventilation, and students' psychological well-being—ensures a learning environment that

  

supports their overall development. Specialized areas for music, art, and sports, along with outdoor spaces, form an integral part of an educational system that strengthens the bond between students and their environment. The thoughtful use of all these elements enhances the learning process and supports students' development on multiple levels.

## 6.0 CONTEMPORARY TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE

The architecture of school facilities is constantly evolving, combining new technologies with contemporary pedagogical perspectives. Current trends in school architecture aim to enhance the learning experience and support the personal development of students, focusing on sustainable and functional solutions. Key developments include the integration of new technologies, sustainable architectural practices, and the growing recognition of outdoor learning as a valuable educational component. At the same time, the vision of "smart" school buildings designed to support pedagogical processes is becoming increasingly visible.

The use of new technologies in school design represents one of the most dynamic developments in contemporary construction, especially for spaces that demand high levels of functionality and flexibility. "Smart" school buildings incorporate technological innovations such as automated lighting and climate control systems, interactive whiteboards, and equipment tailored to the needs of both students and teachers. These systems allow for real-time monitoring of air quality, lighting, and temperature, ensuring that learning conditions remain optimal (Budhwar, 2017). Technology also fosters communication between students and teachers by providing access to digital media and educational tools in real time. The development of smart schools enhances educational experience by enabling more personalized and dynamic forms of learning.

Sustainable architecture is another significant trend shaping the design of school spaces. The use of natural and recyclable materials, energy optimization, and the harnessing of renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind energy, reduce the ecological footprint of school buildings while cultivating environmental responsibility among students. This, in turn, strengthens their connection to the natural world and their sense of obligation to protect it (Kats, 2009). Sustainable school architecture goes beyond energy efficiency; it also seeks to create a healthier and more enjoyable environment for students. Spaces that offer natural lighting, bioclimatic features, and access to green elements promote students' psychological and emotional well-being, thereby improving their academic performance.

One of the most striking trends is the incorporation of outdoor learning into modern school architecture. This approach regards nature as an educational resource and has proven especially effective for student development. Schools such as "Forest Schools" and "Open Air Schools" provide opportunities for students to interact in outdoor settings, reinforcing their connection with the natural world. This pedagogical practice encourages exploration, creativity, and the development of life skills such as cooperation and responsibility (Knight, 2013). Outdoor learning enables the application of instructional practices in natural environments, promoting experiential learning and deeper interaction with the world around them.

The classroom of the future is expected to reflect contemporary pedagogical principles that prioritize collaboration, personalized learning, and active student engagement. The structure of

  

learning environments is shifting toward a model that supports enjoyable and dynamic learning through flexible spaces that can be adapted to different needs and educational scenarios. The traditional model of rows of desks is being replaced by learning environments that offer both individual and collaborative spaces, empowering student participation and fostering the development of social skills (Woolner, 2010). Modern school spaces incorporate group collaboration zones, audio-visual support, and technological equipment that facilitate learning across multiple dimensions.

Contemporary trends in school architecture highlight the necessity of adaptability and innovation, integrating new technologies and pedagogical principles that enrich the learning process. Modern school architecture is no longer merely a setting for instruction, but an environment that supports and enhances student development, promoting both sustainable and innovative learning.

Since the end of World War II, school spaces have reflected the profound social, economic, and pedagogical transformations that have shaped Europe's educational trajectory. Their configuration is not merely a matter of architectural design or functional adaptation; rather, it embodies the evolving needs of an educational system striving to balance innovation with the preservation of core values. Today's school architecture aims not only to facilitate teaching but also to promote active student engagement, foster social interaction, and shape a dynamic learning environment.

The shift from traditional educational models, where classrooms were isolated spaces of instruction, toward collaborative and interactive models required a reconfiguration of school space. Architecture responded to new pedagogical approaches by creating flexible environments and incorporating technological innovations that support not only cognitive development but also the social and emotional growth of students. In post-war Europe, the reconstruction of schools expressed the aspiration for an educational process that would serve as a driver of social reconstruction. At that time, school architecture focused on meeting immediate educational needs through simple and functional structures.

Over time, developments in educational policy and pedagogical thinking led to significant changes in school architecture. Sustainable construction, involving the use of recyclable materials and energy-efficient systems, reflects a new vision of the school as a space for shaping attitudes and behaviors. Today, schools are no longer neutral structures but interactive environments that integrate environmental education and foster ecological awareness. Architectural design contributes to the transition of education from passive knowledge reception to an experiential and interactive process that connects students with their physical and social environments.

The integration of information and communication technologies (ICT) into school spaces has accelerated the shift from static structures to dynamic learning environments capable of responding to the demands of a rapidly changing world. The creation of flexible and multifunctional spaces that combine technological innovations and sustainable practices is a foundational element of a new pedagogical paradigm. Modern school spaces promote collaboration, interaction, and participation, placing the student at the center of the educational process and aligning contemporary pedagogical practices.

Art and music have also been incorporated into school architecture, highlighting their importance in shaping a strong cultural identity. Schools with specialized spaces for artistic expression enhance students' emotional and psychological development, while also promoting aesthetic education as a fundamental aspect of personal fulfillment (Budhwar, 2017). School environments have evolved into spaces that encourage collaboration, creativity, and social interaction, embracing the concept of the "flexible" classroom that fosters participation and collective spirit.

The classroom of the future is expected to incorporate innovative features that support collaborative learning, personalized instruction, and enhanced educational engagement through technology. Contemporary school environments, with interactive panels, mobile furniture, and multifunctional spaces, enable students to participate actively and develop both social and intellectual skills.

The continuous advancement of school spaces also reflects a growing recognition of the importance of physical and social environments in student well-being. By creating spaces that promote emotional, social, and intellectual development, the educational journey of students is significantly enriched. The integration of technology and sustainability in school buildings demonstrates the direct relationship between architecture and both current and future educational needs.

A scientific understanding of the role of school infrastructure in the educational process is increasingly necessary for comprehending the dynamic evolution of learning spaces. The modern school environment, merging technology, sustainability, and innovative pedagogical practices, mirrors the transformation of society and its values, preparing students for a world that demands active, collaborative, and creative citizens. School infrastructure becomes not only a vehicle for transmitting knowledge but also a space for cultivating values and attitudes. Every architectural choice, and each technological and pedagogical innovation, reflects an educational philosophy committed to shaping a society of citizens capable of questioning, collaborating, and co-creating their social reality, affecting their future through creativity and critical thinking. A thorough examination of the relationship between school space and the learning process reveals that education is not simply a matter of knowledge transmission but a practice of value formation and collective experience, one that transcends physical boundaries to address the social and personal needs of every student.

## REFERENCES

Acker, V. (2000). Célestin Freinet: Contributions to the study of education. Greenwood Pub Group.

Alexander, R. J. (2001). Culture and pedagogy: International comparisons in primary education (1st ed.). Wiley-Blackwell

Altbach, P. G. (1998). Comparative Higher Education: Knowledge, the University, and Development. Hong Kong Univ. Press

Becker, P., Humberstone, B., Loynes, C., & Schirp, J. (Eds.). (2018). The changing world of outdoor learning in Europe. Routledge.

  

Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. (1990). Reproduction in education, society and culture (R. Nice, Trans.; 2nd ed.). Sage Publications

Budhwar, K. (2017). The role of technology in education. International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2(8), 55-57.

Burke, C., & Whyte, W. (2021). The spaces and places of schooling: historical perspectives. Oxford Review of Education, 47(5), 549–555. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2021.1973984

Costa, A. R., & Cooper, R. (Eds.). (2024). Design for education: Spaces and tools for learning. Routledge

Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and Education. Kappa Delta Pi.

Fanon, F. (1963). The Wretched of the Earth. Grove Press.

Foucault, M. (1995). Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books. (Original work published 1975).

Griffin, A. (2021). The rise of academic architectural education: The origins and enduring influence of the Académie d'Architecture (1st ed.). Routledge.

Hofverberg, H. (2025). Designing schools and situating future pedagogies – how visions are transformed and materialised in school architecture. Pedagogy, Culture & Society, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2024.2446965

Jeronen, E. (2012). Outdoor education in Finland. Socioekonomické a Humanitní Studie. Studies of Socio-Economic and Humanities, 2(2), 152–160.

Kats, G. (2009). Greening Our Built World: Costs, Benefits, and Strategies. Island Press.

Kellert, S. R. (2005). Building for life: Designing and understanding the human-nature connection. Yale University

Kerssens, N., & Dijck, J. van. (2021). The platformization of primary education in The Netherlands. Learning, Media and Technology, 46(3), 250–263. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2021.1876725

Kiehn, M. T. (2003). Development of music creativity among elementary school students. Journal of Research in Music Education, 51(4), 278–288. https://doi.org/10.2307/3345376

Knight, S. (2013). Forest school and outdoor learning in the early years (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.

Larsen, J. E., Schulte, B., & Thue, F. W. (Eds.). (2022). Schoolteachers and the Nordic Model: Comparative and Historical Perspectives. Routledge

  

Mayo, P., Brown, M., & Briguglio, M. (2022). An analysis of pertinent issues in education in Southern Europe. In Social Welfare Issues in Southern Europe (pp. 78–87). https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429262678-7

Montessori, M. (2004). The Discovery of the Child. Aakar Books.

Rist, G. (2014). The History of Development: From Western Origins to Global Faith (4th ed.). Zed Books.

Spring, J. (1998). Education and the rise of the global economy. Routledge.

St. John, S. K., & Murphy, M. (Eds.). (2019). Education and public policy in the European Union: Crossing boundaries. Palgrave Macmillan

Trumpa, S., Kostiainen, E., Rehm, I., & Rautiainen, M. (Eds.). (2020). Innovative schools and learning environments in Germany and Finland: Research and findings of comparative approaches. Ideas of good and next practice. Waxmann

Tse, H. M., Daniels, H., Stables, A., & Cox, S. (Eds.). (2018). Designing buildings for the future of schooling: Contemporary visions for education. Routledge

Woolner, P. (2010). The design of learning spaces (Future schools). Continuum

Woolner, P., Clark, J., Laing, K., Thomas, U., & Tiplady, L. (2012). Changing spaces: Preparing students and teachers for a new learning environment. Children, Youth and Environments, 22(1), 52–74. https://doi.org/10.7721/chilyoutenvi.22.1.0052

  