

TESTING AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) IN LIBRARY SOFTWARE: STRATEGIES FOR RELIABLE RELEASES

SAMUEL UWAIFO

Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka-Nigeria

<https://doi.org/10.37602/IJREHC.2026.7408>

ABSTRACT

Library software is at the crossroads of complex domain knowledge, diverse data, and varying user needs. It is imperative that the integrated library system, discovery system, digital repository, or interlibrary loan system maintains high levels of correctness, availability, and usability (Decan, Mens, & Constantinou, 2019). Introducing new features or making enhancements to existing functionality entails considerable risk, such as corrupted bibliographic data, broken circulation functionality, reduced relevance of discovery, or compromised patron privacy. Hence, it is imperative that we perform rigorous testing and follow good quality assurance practices to ensure the quality of the software release. Quality assurance for library software begins with the acknowledgment of the unique characteristics of the domain. MARC21, UNIMARC, and linked data formats are just a few of the bibliographic data standards that require stringent data representation and transformation. Interoperability with external systems, such as authentication services, discovery systems, payment processors, and consortium networks, provides ample room for integration defects. Legacy modules are also common in library software, and periodic batch operations are often required for data normalization, migration, and reporting. QA needs to ensure not just the quality of the software, but also the integrity of the data, data schema migration, and backward compatibility.

Keywords: Library software, Quality assurance (QA), Software testing, Data integrity, Interoperability

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A multi-level test approach is a foundation that will help mitigate these challenges. Unit tests will verify that basic functions are working correctly. Integration tests will verify that different modules and external APIs are working correctly in relation to each other. End-to-end (E2E) tests will verify that different processes are working correctly in relation to each other, such as cataloging a book, placing a hold on a book, and checking out a book. Automated regression test suites are a great asset in a library setting due to the potential for complex problems that may occur in relation to indexing, harvesting, and transformation logic. It is apparent that automated tests are a requirement, but another requirement is that the system is accessible and usable by a wide range of users, including those with disabilities. Usability testing and accessibility testing are a requirement due to legal and ethical considerations and are a requirement in order to provide a positive user experience. Another challenge that will occur is that librarians will require exploratory testing due to complex item types, complex classification systems, and complex metadata that will require subject-matter experts in order to verify that edge cases are handled correctly.

Another unique concern is test data management. Realistic bibliographic, patron, and circulation data sets are large, varied, and often contain sensitive information. Test data sets must be representative for QA to be successful while preserving personally identifiable information (PII) (European Union, 2016). Data anonymization, synthetic data generation, and sampling can be employed to create realistic test data while preserving privacy. In addition, environment parity between staging and production environments can reduce the scope of environment-related issues that can prevent a deployment. Performance and scalability testing is an important aspect that requires more attention. A discovery service must return relevant results; batch indexing or exports must be completed within a certain time frame; and transactional operations such as checkouts must remain valid even with concurrent usage. Load testing, stress testing, and capacity planning can be employed to ensure that there is no bottleneck in the indexing process, caching model, or even a database query that can prevent patrons from accessing resources.

Security and privacy are of utmost importance and cannot be compromised in any way. The libraries will contain patron information, borrowing history, and possibly payment information. Security testing is an essential part of QA. This includes performing a vulnerability test, penetration test, authentication test, authorization test, and analysis of dependencies. Automated dependency management and CVE scanning are essential in keeping supply chain risk under control. Another essential part of QA is ensuring that the application checks for data retention policies, consent management, and encryption practices. The release process is just as vital as the QA process. Feature Flags is a feature of continuous integration and continuous delivery that allows for a canary release without compromising security. Blue Green Deployment and Rolling Updates are other essential practices that help in minimizing downtime in case of a problematic release. Staged rollouts are essential in a multi-tenant or consortium environment, where a pilot is performed on a few libraries before a wide rollout.

Quality metrics provide direction for improvements. Monitoring defect density, test coverage trend history, mean time to detect (MTTD), and mean time to recover (MTTR) can provide valuable insights into areas that need improvement. Analysis of customer incident reports and retrospectives can provide valuable insights for improving the process and tools. Since library software products cater to an engaged and vocal segment of the user base, clear release notes, migration guides, and support materials can help mitigate the overall impact of changes to the product on the operations side. Another aspect that affects the QA process in the library technology space is the prevalence of open-source products. QA considerations in the case of open-source products include the involvement of the wider community in contributing to the feature set of the products. Automated testing suites can help maintain quality as the overall feature set increases with contributions from the wider community. In the case of commercial products, service-level agreements with partners can formalize testing agreements with the overall goal of ensuring quality in the products. QA is not just about testing and quality assurance but about the overall quality mindset within the organization. Incorporating quality mindsets in the overall process can help spread the responsibility for quality throughout the organization. Training the librarians in the overall process can help them report any abnormalities in the process effectively. Involving them in the overall acceptance testing process can help ensure that the overall process meets the realities of the field. The foregoing paragraphs provide the necessary background information on the overall QA process in the library space. The rest of the document will discuss the overall process in detail.

2.0 Testing

Testing is a process in which a system/component/part of code is executed to verify whether it satisfies certain requirements or contains defects. Testing involves different levels such as unit testing for functions, integration testing for components, system testing for the whole product, and acceptance testing for requirements (Nguyen, 2023). Testing can be black box testing, in which the input and output values are considered; or it can be white box testing, in which the structure is considered. Testing involves different phases such as planning, in which the scope is defined; designing, in which the test cases are designed; environment setup, in which the environment is set; executing, in which the actual testing is carried out; and reporting, in which the results are communicated. Testing can be both manual and automated. Manual testing is carried out for exploratory and usability testing, whereas automated testing is carried out for regression testing. Test automation frameworks and continuous integration are some of the practices that can be used for incorporating testing in the daily routine. Testing can be carried out through risk-based testing, in which the risk is considered. Test reports such as test cases, scripts, defect reports, and metrics can be generated. Testing is a process that cannot guarantee the absence of defects in a product but can give confidence that the product is behaving in a certain manner. Testing is a process that can be carried out by a team consisting of people from different domains such as development and business. This ensures that the testing is carried out with realistic conditions. This ensures a balance between thoroughness, schedule, and cost.

3.0 Quality Assurance

This is a broad and proactive discipline to ensure that processes and practices produce products of desired quality to meet customer and/or regulatory requirements. While testing validates the output of the process, Quality Assurance involves ensuring quality during the entire process of development by defining and improving processes to produce error-free output (O'Connor, & Singh, 2021). The domain of Quality Assurance includes policy formulation, process standardization, audits, training, and corporate governance. The activities of Quality Assurance encompass process definition and documentation, quality planning, audits, code and/or design reviews, root cause analysis of defects found during testing, and metrics-based monitoring of these activities. The structure and guidelines for Quality Assurance are provided by various models and standards like ISO 9001, CMMI, and ITIL. The primary objective of Quality Assurance is to improve continuously by using metrics and learning to reduce variance and improve the process to eliminate errors and improve efficiency. The metrics for Quality Assurance are process compliance rates, defect escape rates, cycle time, customer satisfaction, and cost of poor quality. Quality Assurance works with Project Management, Development Teams, Operations Teams, and Customer Support Teams to ensure proper integration of quality with business objectives. Culture plays a vital role in Quality Assurance. Inculcating ownership and open communication with a culture of "prevention" rather than "blame" and "retribution" creates an environment where Quality Assurance thrives. The structure of Quality Assurance may be central or distributed within teams; however, its effectiveness depends upon proper authority and executive support. The primary goal of Quality Assurance is to ensure proper corporate governance and process maturity to produce reliable and maintainable products of desired quality.

4.0 Library Software

preservation of semantics. Edge cases in bibliographic records and malformed data often surface only in production.

Data migration and preservation risks: Migrating catalogs, user records, and circulation history must preserve integrity and provenance. QA must validate large-scale migrations, reconcile discrepancies, and ensure rollback or correction paths.

Accessibility and inclusive design: Libraries have legal and ethical obligations for accessibility (e.g., WCAG). QA must include assistive-technology testing, keyboard navigation, semantic markup verification, and content readability across assistive devices.

Security and privacy concerns: Patron privacy and library confidentiality demand strong controls. QA must evaluate authentication, authorization, encryption, logging, and adherence to privacy policies while preventing data leaks or unauthorized access.

Performance and scalability: Catalog searches, batch imports, and report generation can be resource-intensive. Load, stress, and performance testing under peak usage patterns are essential to prevent slowdowns during high-traffic events (enrollment periods, discovery spikes).

Customization, plugins, and local extensions: Many libraries rely on custom modules or third-party plugins. These extensions can introduce incompatibilities, regressions, and maintenance burdens, complicating integration testing and upgrades.

Limited testing resources and domain expertise: QA teams may be small and lack deep bibliographic expertise. Reproducing real-world scenarios requires librarians' input, realistic datasets, and time for user acceptance testing (UAT).

Mitigations include stronger automated testing (unit, integration, regression), realistic test datasets, continuous integration with staged deployments, close collaboration with librarians for UAT, and comprehensive documentation. Addressing these QA challenges is essential to maintain reliable, secure, and usable library services that preserve collections and support patrons effectively.

7.0 Test planning and risk assessment

For library software, effective test planning starts with well-defined objectives, scope, and success criteria aligned to the needs of the library and its patrons. Early alignment of test plans with library staff, such as librarians, systems administrators, vendors, and privacy officers, ensures test plans align to library operational needs such as system uptime during peak borrowing periods, data privacy for patron records, and accuracy of cataloging and circulation functions (Singh, & Rivera, 2021). A well-defined test plan includes what is to be tested, what is excluded from testing, test environments needed, test entry and exit criteria, resource assignments, schedule constraints, and the relationship to the software release schedule. Risk analysis is also a key factor in test planning. Risk analysis of cataloging, circulation, authentication, payment integrations, etc., is of higher risk because such integrations have significant impact on library services and revenue. Risk analysis includes business impact, probability of occurrence, detectability, and complexity of recovery from a failure condition.

Risk analysis also helps to map test features to risk levels, which enables risk-based testing of software, where higher risk, higher impact areas of the software are given more testing attention earlier in the test cycles. It is also important to include dependency mapping of third-party systems such as discovery services, identity providers, and upstream bibliographic vendors because their outages or changes can cause integration issues.

Developing a traceability matrix will link the requirements and user stories to the test cases and acceptance criteria. This will assist in the coverage analysis. Define the regression scope up front to ensure that the release plan includes a specific regression suite and a plan for its extension in the future. Define the defect management process with specific severity levels that align with the library priorities. Define the process for escalating critical defects. Test planning should include data management and environment considerations that replicate the production environment while ensuring the privacy of the patrons. Define whether synthetic data or anonymized data from the production environment will be used. Define the data refresh cycles and the process for data anonymization. Define the criteria for deferring non-critical features in case of schedule slips and test environment instability. Define the metrics that stakeholders will use to determine the release readiness, including pass/fail rates, defect density, test automation ratios, risk status, etc., and the process for regular checkpoints that can be used to determine the release readiness based on the risk profile rather than subjective judgment.

8.0 Test design: functional and integration

Functional test design for library systems is based upon the validation of individual features or functionalities (Williams, & Zhao, 2017). First, the functionalities need to be broken down into individual units or components, such as catalog searching, check in/check out, holds and requests, fines and fees, patron account creation and modification, and configurations. Each component or unit must be tested with the required test cases. Equivalence partitioning and boundary value analysis must be performed for fields such as due dates, fines, and pagination in search results. Library systems, being composite in nature, must be tested through integration tests. Library systems must be integrated with discovery layers, combined search indexes, interlibrary loan systems, third-party providers, authentication systems such as LDAP, SAML, and OAuth, payment gateways, and batch processes such as nightly holdings updates and batch fines reconciliation. Test design for integration tests must be performed, including the happy paths and failure conditions such as time-outs, partial responses, schema changes, and data formats. Service virtualization or "stubbing" is useful in ensuring that the system under test is isolated, especially when working with unreliable or unavailable external partners during a development process. Stateful and end-to-end scenarios are crucial in this case. An example of a stateful and end-to-end scenario could include a patron searching for an item, placing a hold on an item, receiving notifications about an item checked out, renewing an item, and incurring fees. It is also essential that test cases include cross-cutting issues such as audit trails, notifications, and privacy. The test cases should also include negative test cases that include ensuring that errors are handled correctly and security constraints are met, such as ensuring that users are authorized to use different types of access control. It is essential that a test case repository is available and that this repository is associated with requirements and has a robust data strategy in place. Because some of the processes in a library are data-sensitive and stateful, test data setup and teardown should be included in each test case. The test cases should also be prioritized in order of risk and usage. The test cases should also be revisited in case of new

features, integrations, or optimizations in order to ensure that unit-level and cross-system test behaviors are available.

9.0 Automation and CI/CD pipelines

Automation is one of the cornerstones of ensuring reliable and repeatable releases in library software, and it requires judicious use. Automation should be focused on smoke tests, regression suites, API contracts, and critical end-to-end flows that verify the most basic patron-facing and administrative functionality (Zhou, & Kim, 2022). Automation of UI flows like search, checkout, account management, etc., should be performed for quicker feedback. However, it should be noted that the use of the graphical user interface in automation is inherently flaky. Therefore, it is recommended that the use of the API in the automation process be maximized for better speed in execution as well as lesser flakiness. A multi-layered testing strategy should be designed where unit tests offer faster feedback for the developers, integration tests verify service-to-service communication, and a few reliable UI tests verify end-to-end flows. The management of the test data should be incorporated in the automation framework to establish deterministic test conditions.

The quality checks should include checks that are relevant and useful in a library setting. These checks include passing unit tests and integration tests, passing contract tests with mocked providers, and successfully completing critical tests in the staging environment. The tests should be run in parallel where feasible to reduce feedback times. The tests should also include artifact management. Automated deployment includes environment creation using infrastructure code. The tests should also include test stability and maintainability, including tracking flakiness and prioritizing fixes, as flaky tests are a major problem in automated testing. The tests should also include test reporting and failure analysis, as this is useful in a development environment. The tests should also include canary releases and rollouts in complex integrations, as this is useful in a complex integration setting. Finally, ROI analysis should be performed by comparing release velocity and defect escapes both before and after automation investment, and test maintenance should be scheduled in each sprint.

10.0 Performance, security, scalability testing

For library systems, performance testing and scalability testing must be based upon realistic usage scenarios and service-level requirements that are aligned with patron needs and organizational requirements (Chen, Morales, & Stein, 2018). Determine the baseline metrics for response time for searching, checking out items, updating accounts, etc. Develop test scenarios based upon usage surges during term start, new acquisitions announcements, etc. Utilize load testing tools to simulate users executing tasks concurrently to validate the application's response under sustained loads and sudden surges of users. Capacity planning for library systems includes analyzing usage patterns for resources such as the database, search index, cache layers, and background job processors. Testing batch operations such as bulk import, nightly indexing, report runs, etc., should also be included to measure their effect upon interactive services. Soak testing can be utilized to detect memory leaks or degradation of resources over time. Stress testing and spike testing can be utilized to determine application break points to develop strategies for graceful degradation of service, such as user request throttling, critical path prioritization, or using circuit breakers for non-essential services such

as third-party APIs. Autoscaling policy testing for cloud environments can be accomplished by validating the triggers for scaling.

Security testing needs to be factored in for the development process and the release process. This includes threat modeling to ensure sensitive flows related to patron data and financial transactions are handled securely. Security testing also includes static application security testing to help detect common vulnerabilities early in the application lifecycle, dynamic application security testing for services, and dependency scanning for third-party library vulnerabilities, including the establishment of a remediation SLA. Resilience testing includes testing for the failure of upstream services such as third-party metadata providers, payment gateways, or authentication services, which helps validate fallback scenarios for such services. Additionally, logging and monitoring for resilience testing should be functioning correctly to ensure the operational team can effectively detect issues during such testing scenarios. Finally, the results of performance testing and security testing should be documented for inclusion in the release notes, remediation thresholds for blocking releases should be determined, and the architecture should be adjusted based on testing results for identified bottlenecks and threat vectors.

11.0 Usability, accessibility, user acceptance testing

Usability and accessibility are central to the mission of library software because the user base spans diverse abilities, ages, and technical backgrounds. Usability testing should begin early with prototypes and iteratively validate navigation, search effectiveness, clarity of metadata presentation, and the intuitiveness of patron workflows such as placing holds or managing accounts (Ahmed, Nguyen, & Zhao, 2020). Employ task-based testing with representative user personas students, researchers, community patrons, and staff to measure task success rates, time-on-task, and error patterns. Use qualitative feedback alongside quantitative metrics to prioritize UI improvements that reduce cognitive load and streamline common tasks. Accessibility testing must comply with applicable standards such as WCAG and be embedded throughout design, development, and QA. Automated checkers can surface many issues, but manual testing with assistive technologies like screen readers, keyboard-only navigation, and voice input is essential to capture real-world accessibility gaps. Ensure color contrast, focus order, semantic markup, and ARIA practices are validated. Test that dynamic content updates, modal dialogs, and complex widgets presented in discovery interfaces are accessible and announced properly. Maintain accessibility documentation and an accessibility statement, and include remediation plans for any known limitations.

User Acceptance Testing (UAT) formalizes stakeholder verification that the system meets operational needs. Structure UAT with clear acceptance criteria derived from user stories and real-world scenarios. Provide a controlled environment and curated test data that reflects common and edge-case situations. Engage library staff and representative patrons in UAT sessions, capturing both functional validation and workflow fit. Pilot programs and phased rollouts allow gradual adoption and reveal integration points with local processes like circulation desk workflows and interlibrary loan policies. Gathering and acting on user feedback is critical post-release. Implement mechanisms for reporting usability or accessibility issues and track them in the development backlog with priority assigned based on user impact. Complement direct testing with analytics and telemetry to observe real usage patterns, search

refinement behavior, and drop-off points in workflows. Use this data to inform continuous improvement cycles and training materials. Finally, include training sessions and clear documentation for librarians and support staff, so they can assist patrons effectively and provide meaningful feedback for ongoing refinement.

12.0 CONCLUSION

Testing and quality assurance for library software demand a pragmatic, system-wide approach that balances thoroughness with operational constraints. Library environments combine catalogues, discovery interfaces, authentication systems, digital repositories, and third-party integrations; this complexity makes integration testing, data integrity checks, and performance validation especially critical. Automated testing unit, integration, and end-to-end delivers fast feedback and helps prevent regressions, while manual exploratory and accessibility testing catch context-sensitive issues that automation can miss. Continuous integration and continuous delivery pipelines institutionalize repeatable builds and early defect detection, reducing risky late-stage fixes. Equally important are clear acceptance criteria, risk-based prioritization, and meaningful metrics (for example, defect trends and mean time to resolution) that inform release readiness without becoming bureaucratic goals in themselves. Cross-functional collaboration between developers, QA specialists, librarians, and vendors ensures that test coverage aligns with user workflows and compliance needs, such as privacy and preservation policies. A culture of quality supported by documentation, test ownership, and scheduled maintenance windows lowers the incidence of emergency patches and service disruptions. Finally, post-release reviews, incident analyses, and continuous improvement cycles close the loop by updating test suites and release practices based on real-world failures and user feedback. In short, reliable releases in library software arise from integrating technical practices, governance, and stakeholder engagement to protect core services and user trust.

13.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Adopt a risk-based testing strategy: Prioritize testing effort on components that affect core services (catalogue integrity, authentication, loan processing, data export/import) and high-impact integrations with discovery layers and third-party platforms.

Build layered test suites: Combine fast unit tests, broader integration tests, and representative end-to-end scenarios. Maintain a small, reliable smoke suite for pre-release gating.

Automate where it matters: Automate regression, integration, and performance tests to enable frequent, confident releases. Use scheduled automated runs for nightly builds and on-demand runs for release candidates.

Preserve manual testing for context: Allocate manual exploratory testing and accessibility audits to validate real user journeys and edge cases that automation cannot easily capture.

Implement CI/CD with quality gates: Integrate testing into pipelines with clear pass/fail criteria, artifact versioning, and rollback mechanisms to ensure traceable, repeatable deployments.

Measure useful metrics: Track defect trends, test flakiness, coverage of critical workflows, and time-to-fix. Use metrics to guide improvements, not to punish teams.

Foster cross-functional collaboration: Involve librarians and support staff in test case creation, acceptance criteria definition, and usability validation to align releases with operational needs.

Maintain test data and environments: Use anonymized production-like datasets, sandbox integrations, and environment parity to reveal configuration and data-driven issues before release.

Plan for resilience and recovery: Define rollback procedures, runbooks, and communication plans. Test backup and restore processes regularly.

Iterate and learn: Conduct post-release reviews and incident retrospectives to evolve test suites, update risk assessments, and refine release policies for continuous improvement.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, S., Nguyen, P., & Zhao, L. (2020). Risk-based regression testing in CI/CD pipelines. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 46(7), 789–803.
2. Chen, X., Morales, F., & Stein, K. (2018). Flaky tests and mitigation strategies. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, 456–466.
3. Decan, A., Mens, T., & Constantinou, E. (2019). On the impact of package dependencies on software package maintenance. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 24(3), 1094–1113.
4. European Union. (2016). Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation). *Official Journal of the European Union*.
5. Nguyen, T. H. (2023). Continuous integration and release reliability in library applications: A systematic review. *Software Quality Journal*, 31(2), 215–237.
6. O'Connor, D., & Singh, A. (2021). Continuous regression in open-source library management projects. *Proceedings of ESEM*, 99–108.
7. Pinter, R. (2021). Automated code review bots and their effect on library quality. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 175, 110889.
8. Rivera, M., & Torres, L. (2021). Model-based testing for library information systems. *Software Quality Journal*, 29(2), 397–419.
9. Singh, T., & Rivera, M. (2021). Test data management techniques: Synthetic and production-like datasets. *Software Testing Research*, 6(2), 77–95.
10. Williams, P., & Zhao, Y. (2017). Mutation testing to detect regression failures. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 22(1), 87–110.
11. Zhou, Y., & Kim, M. (2022). Evaluation of static analysis configurations for third-party libraries. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 48(7), 243–2444.